

SIR,

In the Pamphlet lately published by J. D. Lang, D. D. which I only had an opportunity of seeing two days since, the author has represented me in a manner perfectly inconsistent with truth. I beg therefore the favour of your allowing me the opportunity of contradicting the writer's statement.

In the narrative of the settlement of the Scots Church, page 103, the author says thus: "It is singular indeed, that every degree of opprobrium which the author has met with in this Colony, in reference to the Scots Church, should have had its origin in the Commissary's family. He will adduce only one other instance of the fact. About a year after the author's return to the Colony, a meeting of the Bible Society was held in Sydney. One of the Episcopal Chaplains was in the chair, and another happened to read the report. The author followed, and addressed the meeting at considerable length; he was succeeded by Mr. L. E. Threlkeld, a Missionary to the Aborigines, under the auspices of the London Missionary Society; to whom the author stood well affected, but whom he had never met with and never seen before. This Gentleman, however, had been invited to reside at the Commissary's, and had received such accounts of the author, and the Scots Church, as Mr. W. was in the habit of giving, to those who were likely to make the requisite return, along with the salt of his hospitality.

To the author's utter astonishment, therefore, Mr. T. prefaced his observations, by informing the meeting, "that there were ministers of religion in this Colony, who were in the habit of giving eloquent addresses at the Meetings of Religious Societies, but whose conduct in other respects was altogether inconsistent with their professions." As the author was the only minister who had addressed the Meeting before Mr. T. and as his observations had evidently been well received, the allusion was too direct, and its object too palpable to be misunderstood. But as it was the first attempt which he had ever witnessed in this Colony, to sow discord in a religious society, and as he did not feel it requisite to disturb the harmony of the Meeting, by vindicating any part of his conduct from the inuendo of Mr. Threlkeld, he allowed this remark to pass unnoticed." Now, the whole of this passage contains a deliberate untruth. The Meeting to which this alludes was some two years since, and when I addressed the Meeting, I knew not that the speaker who preceded me was J. D. Lang, D. D. nor did the Doctor enter into my mind, nor did I make any allusions to him, or utter any inuendos in any way whatever. It is a pity that a young minister, so very apt at Scripture did not act on the precept, "If thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee and him alone," rather than suffer a supposed offence to rankle in his mind whilst nearly two years have gone down upon his wrath. So far from this slanderous insinuation respecting Mr. Wemyss being correct, it was not for months afterwards that I saw a copy of the letter written by Dr. Lang, full of the most gross insults to Mr. and Mrs. W., which letter was addressed to the Deputy Commissary General, at Hobart Town, in which the Doctor most unfeelingly attributes the death of Mrs Wemyss' infant to a just retribution from God, as a mark of his displeasure against that Lady, respecting the Scots Church!! The Doctor has forgotten to notice this part of his letter in his publication. And the precept, "Be ye kind, tender hearted, full of compassion," was equally forgotten whilst the author was exulting in a mother's woe!

In page 104 the writer states, "It was a second unprovoked interference with the author on the part of this Missionary, that led, through a train of circumstances, with which it is unnecessary to acquaint the reader, to the publication of this narrative at the present moment. Mr. T. had published a series of letters on Popery in the *Sydney Gazette*, one of which had called forth a reply from one of the Roman Catholic Priests, in which the Rev. Gentleman had the hardihood to hold up Luther and Calvin as licentious men, who had left the Romish Communion, because its unsullied purity would not tolerate their profligate manners. So very slanderous

publication. And the precept, "Be ye kind, tender hearted, full of compassion," was equally forgotten whilst the author was exulting in a mother's woe!

In page 104 the writer states, "It was a second unprovoked interference with the author on the part of this Missionary, that led, through a train of circumstances, with which it is unnecessary to acquaint the reader, to the publication of this narrative at the present moment. Mr. T. had published a series of letters on Popery in the *Sydney Gazette*, one of which had called forth a reply from one of the Roman Catholic Priests, in which the Rev. Gentleman had the hardihood to hold up Luther and Calvin as licentious men, who had left the Romish Communion, because its unsullied purity would not tolerate their profligate manners. So very slanderous an insinuation the author could not suffer to pass unnoticed, in a young Colony like this; and he therefore wrote a few remarks on the Priest's letter, in the course of which he not only vindicated the character of these great Reformers, but produced Roman Catholic evidence to illustrate the character of the Roman Catholic Church, immediately prior to the Reformation. And as Mr. Threlkeld had gone very much out of his way, to hold up the author, with his academical degrees and "Geneva cloak," in contradistinction to himself as a person who possessed an exclusive title to "Christian man," he took the liberty to add, that Mr. Threlkeld was the Missionary to the Aborigines, who had expended upwards of £2000 in forming a petty settlement at Reid's Mistake, and who, after this vast expenditure of public money, had come to Sydney to write on the Catholic question, because he thought £250 a-year, "the salary allowed him by the London Society insufficient for his maintenance as a Missionary to the blacks." It is really painful to be obliged to say that this extract is also replete with falsehood. I never held up the author or his academical degrees to notice, nor did I know that the Doctor possessed a "Geneva cloak," nor did I think even as the author affirms I did. Had not the Doctor's common sense been blinded by prejudice, and almost suffocated by jealousy of his academical honours, he would have perceived that the writer of those letters was *only narrowing the question* betwixt Catholics and Protestants, by rejecting every designation but that of "*Christian*," and not making personal allusions to any individual in the world. But Job says truly, "great men are not always wise." Nor does it now create wonder that the Doctor should make ill-natured remarks respecting the concerns of the Mission, when he was labouring under such a strong delusion, or that he should like Paul, when "exceeding mad," hail to destruction those whom he opposed. The opportunity, therefore, afforded by the misunderstanding which existed respecting the Mission was too precious to be lost, and it ceases to surprise, that the Doctor, under the influence of such feelings, should "render evil for evil," although such evil existed only in his own creative fancy; this may account for the contemptible silly remark in page 105. "The author could say much on the very injudicious manner in which the affairs of the Mission to the Aborigines have hitherto been conducted by Mr. Threlkeld; but he forbears." Now, I wish for no forbearance in this matter. It is the duty of Dr. Lang, as an honest man, not to be a partaker of crime by concealment. The Directors of the Society are the fit persons to be made acquainted with the nature of his charges against their Missionary, and justice demands that a copy of such statement should be sent to me, to prepare a defence against *specific charges*, by the same conveyance which may convey both at one time to England, or a more speedy plan can be adopted, by the Doctor's communicating to the Rev. Samuel Marsden, who is a Director and Agent to the Society, all that the Doctor knows on the subject. It is very lamentable to perceive so much bitterness, and wrath, and anger, and evil speaking exist, without taking some prompt measures to check the growing evil. If Doctor Lang does not take one or other of these legitimate steps now pointed out, to establish the truth of his insinuations, some other means must be resorted to, for the purpose of staying his calumnies.

L. E. THRELKELD.

Lake Macquarie, Sept. 8, 1828.