TO THE EDITOR OF THE SYDNEY GAZETTE.

Str.,
In the Pamphlet lately published by J. D. Lang,
D. D. which I only had an opportunity of seeing two

He will adduce or ly the Commissary's family. fact. About a year after the he Colony, a meeting of the other instauce of the

Bible Society was held in Sydney. One of the Episcopal Chaplains was in the chair, and another the ppened to read the report. The author followed and addressed the meeting at considerable length; he was succeeded by Mr. L. E. Threlkeld, a Missionary to the Aborigines, under the auspices of the Landau Aliciature Society to whom the author

London Missionary Society; to whom the author a stood well affected, but whom he had never met with and never seen before. This Gentleman, however, had been invited to reside at the Commissary's, I and had received such accounts of the author, and the Scots Church, as Mr. W. was in the habit of

giving, to those who were likely to make the requisite return, along with the salt of his hospitality. To the author's utter astonishment, therefore, Mr. T. prefaced his observations, by informing the

meeting, "that there were ministers of religion in this Colony, who were in the habit of giving eloquent addresses at the Meetings of Religious Societies, but whose conduct in other respects was altogether inconsistent with their professions." As the author

was the only minister who had addressed the Meeting before Mr. T. and as his observations had evidently

it was the first attempt which he had ever witnessed in this Colony, to sow discord in a religious society, and as he did not feel it requisite to disturb the harmony of the Meeting, by vindicating any part of his conduct from the invended of Mr. Threlkeld, he allowed this remark to pass unnoticed." Now, the

whole of this passage contains a deliberate unforth. The Meeting to which this alludes was some two years since, and when I addressed the Meeting, I knew not that the speaker who preceded me was J. D. Lang, D. D. nor did the Doctor enter into my mind, nor did I make any allusions to him, or utter any inuendos in any way whatever. It is a pity that a young minister, so very apt at Scripture did not act on the precept, "If thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee and him alone," rather

him his fault between thee and him alone," rather than suffer a supposed offence to rankle in his mind whilst nearly two years have gone down upon his wrath. So far from this slanderous insinuation

respecting Mr. Wennyss being correct, it was not for months afterwards that I saw a copy of the letter written by Dr. Lang, full of the most gross insults to Mr. and Mrs. W., which letter was addressed to the Deputy Commissary General, at Hobart Town, in which the Dector must unfeelingly attributes the

which the Doctor most unfeelingly attributes the death of Mrs Wemyss' infant to a just retribution from God, as a mark of his displeasure against that Lady, respecting the Scots Church!! The Doctor has forgotten to notice this part of his letter in his publication. And the process, the restriction is

whilst the author was exulting in a mother's woe!
In page 104 the writer states, "It was a second

unprovoked interference with the author on the part of this Missionary, that led, through a train of circumstances, with which it is unnecessary to acquaint

the reader, to the publication of this narrative at the present moment. Mr. T. had published a series of letters on Popery in the Sydney Gazette, one of which had called forth a reply from one of the

Communion, because its unsulfied purity would not tolerate their profligate manners. So very slanderous

hearted, full of compassion,

In page 104 the writer states,

Roman Catholic Priests, in which the man had the hardihood to hold

Calvin as licentious men, who

And the precept, "Be ye kind, tender of compassion," was equally forgotten

which the Rev.

up had left the Romish

forgotten

Gazette, one of rom one of the he Rev. Gentle

Luther and

its object too palpable to be misunderstood.

been well received,

the allusion was too direct, and

passage contains a deliberate un-

return to the

one

of contradicting the writer's statement.

In the parative of the settlement of the Scots
Church, page 103, the author says thus: "It is singular indeed, that every degree of opprobrium which
the author has met with in this Colony, in reference
to the Scots Church, should have the discounter to the County of the state of the settlement of the settlement

of contradicting the writer's statement.

days since, the author has represented me in a man-ner perfectly inconsistent with truth. I beg there-fore the favour of your allowing me the opportunity

1

d

ì

1

v

7

c C

έv f 0

> ٠t 1

But as

publication. And the precept, was equally forgott whilst the author was exulting in a mother's woe! In page 104 the writer states, "It was a secon unprovoked interference with the author on the just of this Missionary, that led, through a train of circumstances, with which it is unnecessary to acquain the reader, to the publication of this narrative at it present moment. Mr. T. had published a series letters on Popery in the Sydney Gazette, one of which had called forth a reply from one of the Roman Catholic Priests, in which the Rev. Gentle man had the hardihood to hold up Luther an Calvin as licentious, neu, who had lett the Romis Communion, because its unsullied purity would not tolerate their profligate manners. So very should not tolerate their profligate manners. So very should not not learned their profligate manners. So very should not author could not suffer to pas unnoticed, in a young (lound like this; and heterefore wrote a few remarks on the Priest's letter in the course of which he not only vindicated, this character of these great. Reformers, but produce. Roman Catholic evidence to illustrate the character of the Roman Catholic Church, immediately prior to the Reformation. And as Alr. Threlkeld had gone very much out of his way, to hold up the author with his academical degrees and "Geneva cloak," in contradistinction to himself as a person who possessed an exclusive tille to "Christian man," he took the liberty to add, that Mr. Threlkeld was the Missionary to the Aborigines, who had expended upwards of £2000 in forming a petty settlement at Reid's Mistake, and who, after this vast expenditure of public money, had come to Sydney to write on the Catholic question, because he thought £200 a-year, "the salary allowed him by the London Society insufficient for his maintenance as a Missionary to the blacks." It is really painful to be obliged to say that this extract is also replete with falsehood. I never held up the author or his academical degrees to notice, nor did liknov that the Doctor's common